

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/520,886		URBAN ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Laura M. Menz		2813	

All Participants:

(1) Laura M. Menz.

(2) Gerard Messina.

Date of Interview: 14 April 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

13, 17-24

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

/Laura M Menz/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2813

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called to discuss the election by original presentation restriction requirement that she deemed necessary and informed the Applicant that an Examiner's Amendment could be made to the Application- however the Applicant requested that the explanation of the election by original presentation requirement be provided in writing. The Examiner told the Applicant that she would mail out an Ex parte Quayle action including the written explanation..